

### COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET, ROOM 525 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-2706 PHONE: (213) 974-8301 FAX: (213) 626-5427

ASST. AUDITOR-CONTROLLERS

ROBERT A. DAVIS JOHN NAIMO MARIA M. OMS

June 20, 2008

TO:

Supervisor Yvonne B. Burke, Chair

Supervisor Gloria Molina Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky Supervisor Don Knabe

Supervisor Michael D. Antonovioh

FROM:

Wendy L. Watanabe

Acting Auditor-Controller

SUBJECT: PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATIVE FEES

In a confidential memorandum dated February 28, 2008 County Counsel advised you that there is currently a dispute between California cities and California counties related to the fees charged by counties for property tax collection and administration.

Attached please find a letter sent to our 88 cities notifying them of the County's position on this matter.

Please call me if you have any questions, or your staff may contact Susan Linschoten at (213) 974-8361.

WLW:SJL

**Attachments** 

c: William T Fujioka, Chief Executive Officer Raymond G. Fortner, Jr., County Counsel



### COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION 500 WEST TEMPLE STREET, ROOM 525 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-2706 PHONE: (213) 974-8301 FAX: (213) 626-5427

ASST, AUDITOR-CONTROLLERS

ROBERT A. DAVIS JOHN NAIMO MARIA M. OMS

WENDY L. WATANABE ACTING AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

June 20, 2008

TO: ALL CITIES IN THE

**COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES** 

SUBJECT: PROPERTY TAX ADMINSITRATION FEES

Attention: City Manager and Finance Director

My office has received numerous inquiries and claims concerning the dispute which has arisen between California cities and California counties on fees counties charge for property tax collection and administration.

SB 1096 was enacted in 2004 to allocate additional property tax revenues to counties and cities replacing Vehicle License Fee ("VLF") and sales/use tax revenues ("SUT"). This legislation, Revenue & Taxation Code §97.75, does not permit counties to charge an administrative fee "in reimbursement for the services performed by the County under Sections 97.68 and 97.70" for fiscal years 2004-05 and 2005-06 but an administrative charge is allowed for later years.

Some cities are objecting to the increased fee by asserting that under §97.75 any additional administrative fees are limited to an amount which does not exceed the relatively minimal incremental cost to allocate these additional tax proceeds. This assertion is not germane because it ignores both the policy underlying property tax administrative fees and the clearly defined statutory mechanism which authorizes and defines them.

The property tax administration fee charged under Revenue & Taxation Code §95.3 attributable to SB 1096's additional property tax allocations is fair, appropriate and consistent with current law. The amount that can be apportioned amongst cities and other taxing entities is strictly limited to prior year actual costs of property tax collection and allocation plus prior year federally allowed overhead. That fee is predicated on the policy that the cost of administering the property tax system should be apportioned among taxing entities in direct proportion to each entity's share of property tax revenues.

Property Tax Administrative Fees June 20, 2008 Page 2 of 2

Counties distinguish the general costs of assessing, equalizing, collecting, and allocating property tax revenues -- long unfairly imposed upon them -- and the additional costs of reallocating property tax revenues to replace the VLF and sales tax revenues. They see no indication that the Legislature through §97.75's reference to "services performed by the County under Sections 97.68 and 97.70." intended to revoke counties' ability under §95.3 to charge services on replacement revenues.

Counties generally, including Los Angeles, continue to strongly disagree with the conclusions of cities regarding this matter and will continue to follow the adopted statewide uniform guidelines prepared by the State Association of County Auditor-Controllers, with broad participation from representatives of the State Controller's Office, the League of California Cities, and others, until otherwise directed by statue or court. We also believe that it is demonstrable that the VLF replacement revenues received by cities, *after* deduction of administrative cost, substantially exceed what cities would have realized had they retained VLF.

To further explain our position, I have attached an opinion prepared through the California Statewide County Counsel's Association, the uniform guidelines prepared for the implementation of SB 1096, which reflects the associated property tax administrative costs, the uniform guidelines prepared for the implementation of SB 2557 and a schedule of those property tax administrative fees charged to the cities in Los Angeles County.

Should you have any questions, please contact Susan Linschoten of my staff at (213) 974-8361.

Very truly yours,

Wendy L. Watanabe Acting Auditor-Controller

Wendywatersbe

WLW:SJL

Enclosure

C: County Counsel
Board of Supervisors
Chief Executive Office

### **Legal Opinions**

### Prepared by

California Statewide County Counsel's Association

### OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

70 West Hedding Street, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor San Jose, California 95110-1770 (408) 299-5900 (408) 292-7240 (FAX)



### Ann Miller Ravel COUNTY COUNSEL

Winifred Botha Robert C. Campbell Lori E. Pegg Assistant County Counsel

February 11, 2008

Paul McIntosh Executive Director California State Association of Counties 1100 K St., Suite 101 Sacramento, CA 95814

Edward Harrington, President
State Association of County Auditor-Controllers
City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 316
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Property Tax Administration Fee

Dear Mr. McIntosh and Mr. Harrington:

Recently, the Office of the State Controller (SCO) released a copy of a legal opinion regarding the calculation of property tax administration costs resulting from the implementation of SB 1096 (Stats. 2004, ch.211). The opinion was requested by Controller staff as a result of an earlier letter on behalf of the League of California Cities (LCC) raising an objection to the manner in which counties were calculating the property tax administration fee (PTAF) for the 2006-07 fiscal year and beyond. In a meeting with SCO staff to discuss the cities' letter, Jean Hurst, several county auditor-controllers, and I presented the counties' position. The SCO legal opinion disagrees with the position of the counties, and in a letter to all county auditor-controllers, the SCO appears to have officially adopted the conclusions set out in that opinion.

The SCO summarized the legal opinion in the letter to the counties as follows:

As the counties' assessors and treasurer-tax collectors incur no additional costs in implementing Revenue and Taxation Code sections 97.68 and 97.70, SCO legal counsel opined that Sales and Use Tax and Vehicle License Fee adjustment amounts cannot be added to Revenue and Taxation Code section 96.1 and section 100 computed property tax shares in order to determine administrative cost shares. [Section 97.75 of the Revenue and Taxation Code] clearly states that no amount should be charged for administrative services that exceeds the actual cost of providing such services.

Letter to Paul McIntosh, California State Association of Counties and Edward Harrington, State Association of County Auditor-Controllers Re: Property Tax Administration Fee February 11, 2008
Page 2

As a result of the actions of the SCO, you have requested a written opinion from the County Counsels' Association of California on the question of how, under applicable state law, the PTAF authorized under Section 95.3 of the Revenue and Taxation Code<sup>1</sup> should be calculated. This opinion has been approved for release by the Association.

In reading the SCO legal opinion and the questions from SCO staff that it attempts to answer, it appears that the SCO does not fully understand the issues or the applicable law. On the part of counsel, this may be due to the fact that, as she acknowledges, she did not have, and apparently did not request, a copy of the SB 1096 guidelines or "other pertinent documents or information" that might have assisted in her understanding. Other pertinent information that appears not to have been provided or requested includes information about the counties' position as presented in our meeting with the Controller.

At issue here is the proper calculation of the PTAF which counties are authorized by Section 95.3 to charge local agencies to fund the costs incurred by counties in assessing, equalizing, collecting, and allocating property tax revenues. The intent of the Legislature in enacting Section 95.3 is expressed in subdivision (e):

It is the intent of the Legislature in enacting this section to recognize that since the adoption of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution by the voters, county governments have borne an unfair and disproportionate part of the financial burden of assessing, collecting, and allocating property tax revenues for other jurisdictions and for redevelopment agencies. The Legislature finds and declares that this section is intended to fairly apportion the burden of collecting property tax revenues and is not a reallocation of property tax revenue shares or a transfer of any financial or program responsibility.

Section 95.3 sets out the methodology for calculating and allocating the administrative costs associated with property tax administration by the counties. The charges for the property tax administration services are not to exceed the actual and reasonable costs incurred by a county in performing those services.<sup>2</sup>

The appropriate calculation under Section 95.3 is now an issue because of enactments in 2003 and 2004 resulting from efforts by the state to deal with severe budget deficits. In 2003, the Legislature enacted what came to be known as the "Triple Flip" (Stats. 2003, ch. 13) which in relevant part reduced sales and use tax (SUT) revenues to cities and counties by 0.25 percent

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>All section references are to the Revenue and Taxation Code unless otherwise specified.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Section 95.3(d).

Letter to Paul McIntosh, California State Association of Counties and Edward Harrington, State Association of County Auditor-Controllers Re: Property Tax Administration Fee February 11, 2008 Page 3

and replaced those revenues with property tax revenues from the counties Education Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF).<sup>3</sup> This was not implemented until 2004 with the issuance by the state of economic recovery bonds. The other enactment occurred in 2004 as part of SB 1096. This was the Vehicle License Fee (VLF) "swap." The loss of VLF revenues was offset in the same way as the SUT exchange, by property tax revenues from each county's ERAF.

Also enacted in SB 1096 was Section 97.75 which provides:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, for the 2004-05 and 2005-06 fiscal years, a county shall not impose a fee, charge, or other levy on a city, nor reduce a city's allocation of ad valorem property tax revenue, in reimbursement for the services performed by the county under Sections 97.68 and 97.70. For the 2006-07 fiscal year and each fiscal year thereafter, a county may impose a fee, charge, or other levy on a city for these services, but the fee, charge, or other levy shall not exceed the actual cost of providing these services.

In response to these enactments, the California State Association of County Auditors developed, with the active participation of the SCO and LCC, guidelines for the implementation of SB 1096. Included in the guidelines were procedures for application of Section 97.75. The procedure provided that for the 2004-05 and 2005-06 fiscal years, the SUT and VLF property tax replacement revenues would not be included in the calculation of the PTAF but would be included in subsequent years.<sup>5</sup> Both the SCO and LCC were clearly aware of the position on this issue as expressed in the final guidelines, and neither expressed any concern or disagreement.

The SCO now believes the language of Section 97.75 prohibits counties from including property tax revenues resulting from the application of Section 97.68 and Section 97.70 in the calculation of property tax revenues under Section 95.3. I believe that conclusion is clearly erroneous.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>6</sup>The LCC also argued in its letter to the SCO that the revenues from application of Section 97.68 and 97.70 were not property taxes. The SCO opinion does not address that issue

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Section 97.68.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Section 97.70.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>It appears that this procedure might have gone beyond what was required by Section 97.75 and resulted in a windfall to the cities. As explained further in this opinion, Section 97.75 doesn't require the exclusion of the SUT and VLF property tax replacement amounts from the property tax administration fee calculated under Section 95.3. It only requires that the cost of services performed under Section 97.68 and 97.70 related to those revenues not be imposed on the cities for the two fiscal years.

Letter to Paul McIntosh, California State Association of Counties and Edward Harrington, State Association of County Auditor-Controllers Re: Property Tax Administration Fee February 11, 2008
Page 4

The SCO, in its request for a legal opinion, asked the question whether VLF and SUT property tax replacement revenues can "be added to section 96.1 and section 100 computed property tax share to determine administrative cost shares?" The opinion provides no analysis of that question but merely concludes that counties cannot include those amounts in the PTAF calculations because Section 97.75 states that "no amount should be added as a charge for providing administrative services that exceeds the actual cost of providing such services." The SCO incorrectly interprets the plain language of Section 97.75.

Because the statutory language is generally the most reliable indicator of legislative intent, a court will look first at the words themselves, giving them their usual and ordinary meaning and construing them in context. If the plain language of the statute is clear and unambiguous, a court will look no further. If the statutory language contains no ambiguity, the Legislature is presumed to have meant what it said, and the plain meaning of the statute governs.<sup>7</sup> It is our opinion that the language of Section 97.75 is clear and unambiguous.

Nowhere in the language of Section 97.75 is there a reference, expressed or implied, that the VLF and SUT property tax replacement revenues are not to be included for purposes of Section 96.1 and Section 100 calculations. Those sections both refer to property tax revenues that are allocated to local agencies, including cities. The SUT and VLF property tax replacement revenues are included in those amounts and the allocations made by counties to local agencies. Section 95.3 provides that the sums included in the calculation of the property tax administration fee include the amounts from Section 96.1 and Section 100. That would necessarily include the SUT and VLF property tax replacement revenues.

By its plain language, Section 97.75 is concerned with "a fee, charge, or other levy on a city... in reimbursement for the services performed by the county under Sections 97.68 and 97.70." (Emphasis added.) There is no reference to the services performed by counties under Section 95.3. By specifying the "services" performed under Section 97.68 and Section 97.70 only, it is clear that the Legislature was concerned only with the incremental costs associated with providing those additional services required by Section 97.68 and Section 97.70 and that the costs of those services not be imposed on cities for the two fiscal years. In enacting Section 97.75, the Legislature did not prohibit the inclusion of the SUT and VLF property tax replacement revenues in the PTAF calculations for purposes of the general property tax services

and clearly appears to assume, correctly, that the revenues are, in fact, property tax revenues. The LCC position is without merit. Under Section 97.68 and Section 97.70, the sales and use tax and VLF replacement revenues come from property tax revenues, and the statutes refer to them as property tax revenues. The legislative analyses also refer to the revenues as property taxes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>People v. Johnson (2002) 28 Cal. 4<sup>th</sup> 240, 244.

Letter to Paul McIntosh, California State Association of Counties and Edward Harrington, State Association of County Auditor-Controllers Re: Property Tax Administration Fee February 11, 2008
Page 5

performed by the counties. If the Legislature had intended such a prohibition, it would have specifically referred to the exclusion of the SUT and VLF property tax replacement revenues in the fee calculation under Section 95.3 rather than merely prohibiting a fee for the narrowly specified "services."

Since it is clear from the applicable statutory language that the SUT and VLF property tax replacement revenues are properly included for purposes of calculating the PTAF under the provisions of Section 97.75, the only remaining question is whether counties are imposing a fee, charge, or levy that exceeds the actual cost of providing the services. Since the calculations for the PTAF must follow the requirements of Section 95.3, counties should be in compliance with the provisions of Section 97.75.

Section 95.3, similar to the language in Section 97.75, requires that the PTAF for costs incurred by counties in assessing, equalizing, collecting, and allocating property tax revenues may not exceed the actual and reasonable costs incurred by counties in performing those services. As a result, in the normal course of calculating the PTAF, a county will comply with the restrictions imposed by Section 97.75.

With regard to the position taken by the SCO in the legal opinion and the letter to the counties, it should be noted that the SCO does not purport to have authority to direct the counties to implement the SCO interpretation. The counties are under no legal obligation to follow the advice of the SCO on this issue, and they should be advised not do so in this instance given what we believe to be the clearly erroneous interpretation of the applicable statutes by the SCO.

Very truly yours,

ANN MILLER RAVEL County Counsel

ROBERT C. CAMPBELL Assistant County Counsel

Robert C. Campbell

c: Jean Hurst
Richard Arrow
Rod Dole
John Guthrie
Jennifer Henning

### SB1096 Uniform Guidelines

### Prepared by

### The Accounting Standards Committee of

### The CA. State Assoc. of County Auditors

### Section V. - Other Provisions

Statutes SB1096 and AB2115; Impact on 2004-05 - 2007-08 County Property Tax Administrative Cost (SB2557) Cost calculation; VLF and Triple Flip analysis

Appendix - A Schedule

Administrative Cost Apportionment Factors 2004-05 and 2005-06 K1

Administrative Cost Apportionment Factors 2006-07 and Thereafter K2

### Section V - Other Provisions

### A) Hold Harmless to Basic Aid Counties

Revenue and Taxation Code Section 97.68 (f)(1) and Revenue and Taxation Code Section (97.70 (f)(1) provides that any basic aid counties will not be financially impacted due to the imposition of the Triple Flip or the VLF Swap. A basic aid county is one where all the K-12 school districts, community college districts, and County Offices of Education (including special education programs) are funded at their respective basic aid levels. Therefore, in calculating the amount of remaining funds that are available for redistribution to contributing taxing agencies, the amount of the VLF swap or the triple flip sales taxes shall not reduce these additional or remaining funds.

### B) Hold harmless to Fiscal Contracts (VLF Swap)

Similar to the hold harmless provisions relative to the "triple flip" as previously discussed, Revenue and Taxation Code Section 97.70 (g) provides that any tax exchange or revenue sharing agreements between local or non local agencies are to be deemed modified to account for the reduced amount of Vehicle License Fees that are replaced by the amount of property tax in lieu of motor vehicle licenses fees by the enactment of this statute.

### C) Effect on Property Tax Administration Fees (SB 2557)

Revenue and Taxation Code 97.75 is added to statute that prohibits the imposition of any fee, charge, or other levy on a city for the administration and calculations required for the property tax shift pursuant to the Triple Flip, or the VLF swap for the 2004-05 and 2005-06 years only. In subsequent years, costs associated with these items will be included and allocated formulas should be adjusted accordingly. (See Appendix K)

### D) Effect on SB 90 Mandated Cost Claims

Section 49 of SB 1096 states that if the Commission on State Mandates determines that this act contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement to local agencies and school districts shall be made according to existing SB 90 claims recovery processes for FY 2004-05 and FY 2005-06 only. Subsequent years will be reimbursed through the Property Tax Administration Fee (SB 2557) process.

### E) Hold Harmless to Fiscal Contracts (Triple Flip)

Existing tax exchange or revenue sharing agreements, entered into prior to July 1, 2004, between local agencies or between local agencies and non-local agencies "shall be deemed to be temporarily modified" to account for the reduction in sales and use tax revenues and dollar-for-dollar replacement with property taxes "in lieu of sales and use taxes". The intent here is that there should not be a negative financial impact to the tax

### COUNTY OF WINE

Administrative Costs Apportionment Factors For Fiscal Years 2004-05 and 2005-06

Not	Kecoverable	\$ 5,092,994	3,696,615							211,157	662,171	2,392	174,543	1,080,902	279,375	690,365	94,788	242,836																\$ 12,228,138
3	Recoverable			\$ 205,287	602,091	62,523	5,663	220,644	331,757										509,051	41,979	45	3,592	54,829	206,042	5,953	1,093	96,517	2,455	614,950	518,854	270,585	40,249	61,034	\$ 3,855,193
Allocate Tax Admin	Costs	\$ 5,092,994	3,696,615	205,287	602,091	62,523	5,663	220,644	331,757	211,157	662,171	2,392	174,543	1,080,902	279,375	690,365	94,788	242,836	509,051	41,979	45	3,592	54,829	206,042	5,953	1,093	96,517	2,455	614,950	518,854	270,585	40,249	61,034	\$ 16,083,331
FY 2004-05 Tax Admin Cost Apportionment	Factor	0.31666288	0.22984139	0.01276399	0.03743574	0.00388742	0.00035208	0.01371877	0.02062739	0.01312892	0.04117127	0.00014875	0.01085240	0.06720633	0.01737048	0.04292424	0.00589357	0.01509860	0.03165086	0.00261012	0.00000279	0.00022335	0.00340905	0.01281092	0.00037015	0.00006794	0.00600108	0.00015263	0.03823522	0.03226035	0.01682394	0.00250254	0.00379487	1.00000000
Total Amount Apportioned	Each Fund	\$ 430,144,745	312,209,206	17,338,193	50,851,519	5,280,542	478,251	18,635,146	28,019,584	17,833,901	55,925,741	202,056	14,741,549	91,290,934	23,595,501	58,306,915	8,005,636	20,509,451	42,993,515	3,545,500	3,784	303,385	4,630,742	17,401,949	502,795	92,294	8,151,677	207,327	51,937,500	43,821,424	22,853,109	3,399,368	5,154,825	\$ 1,358,368,064
ERAF III Adjustments	(except KDA)	\$ 39,347,889	(17,149,916)		(631,054)	(475,439)	(29,436)	(2,220,651)	(2,076,879)												(2,006)	(147,620)	(375,010)	(16,172,805)	(43,201)			(19,612)	(4,260)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4
Unitary & Operating	Non-Unitary	\$ 42,944,709	36,193,310	1,905,296	5,657,426	632,525	55,790	2,291,846	3,307,304	1,959,769	6,145,686	22,204	1,619,950	10,031,971	2,592,912	6,407,353	879,740	2,253,786	4,724,562	389,615	636	49,561	550,083	3,689,533	000'09	10,142	895,789	24,938	5,707,886	4,815,541	2,511,331	373,557	566,464	\$ 149,271,215
Gross PTR AB 8	Allocation	\$ 347,852,147	293,165,812	15,432,897	45,825,147	5,123,456	451,897	18,563,951	26,789,159	15,874,132	49,780,055	179,852	13,121,599	81,258,963	21,002,589	51,899,562	7,125,896	18,255,665	38,268,953	3,155,885	5,154	401,444	4,455,669	29,885,221	485,996	82,152	7,255,888	202,001	46,233,874	39,005,883	20,341,778	3,025,811	4,588,361	\$1,209,096,849
		ERAF	County of Wine	County Library	City of Zinfandel	City of Shiraz	City of Petite Shiraz	City of Merlot	City of Cabernet Sauvignon	Chenin Blanc Elementary	Fume Blanc Elementary	Sauvignon Blanc Elementary	Gewurztraminer Elementary	Chardonnay Unified	Sauvignon Blanc High	Grenache Rose Comm College	White Zinfandel Comm College	County School Service	Central Fire	South County Fire	Evian Water District	Dansani Water District	Mid-Peninsula Open Space	Alhambra Water District	Burgundy Cemetery District	So Wine Valley Memorial District	Carignane Hospital District	Pinot Noir Recreation & Park Dist	Meritage Resource Conservation Dist	Blanc de Noirs RDA	Blanc de Blancs RDA	Brut RDA	Brut Cuvee RDA	

R & T Code Section 97.75 prohibits the imposition, charge, or levy of a fee for Triple Flip and VLF Swap adjustments in the property tax admin fees calculation for fiscal years 2004-05 and 2005-06. While adding the Triple Flip and VLF Swap adjustments to the schedule but not imposing the related charge to cities would be more reflective of the process (ERAF would decrease and cities and county would Increase), in actuality, the net recoverable amount due to counties would not change and therefore, the adjustments are not included in this schedule.

COUNTY OF WINE

Administrative Costs Apportionment Factors For Fiscal Years 2006-07 and Thereafter

Not Recoverable	\$ 2.649,420 5,353,378 2,392 174,543 1,080,902 279,375 690,365 94,788 242,836	\$11,441,327
Recoverable	\$ 205,287 678,696 114,181 8,406 462,672 547,038 5,340	\$4,642,004
Allocate Tax Admin Costs	\$ 2,649,420 5,353,378 205,287 678,696 114,181 8,406 462,672 547,038 211,157 662,171 2,332 1,080,902 279,375 690,051 41,979 69 59,051 69 59,269 397,531 6,465 1,093 96,517 615,000 518,854 270,585 40,249 61,034	\$16,083,331
FY 2006-07 Tax Admin Cost Apportionment Factor	0.16473083 0.33285258 0.01276399 0.04219871 0.00709932 0.0065266 0.02876717 0.03101275 0.01085240 0.04117127 0.0014875 0.01085240 0.06720633 0.01737048 0.06720633 0.01737048 0.06720633 0.01737048 0.06720633 0.01737048 0.00588512 0.00588512 0.003165986 0.0006794 0.00388512 0.003165986 0.00006794 0.00382856 0.00006794 0.00580512 0.02471698 0.00006794 0.00580512 0.02471698 0.00016707 0.03823835 0.00016707 0.03823835 0.01882394 0.00250254	1.000000000
Total Amount Apportioned Each Fund	\$ 223,765,092 452,136,314 17,338,193 57,321,376 9,643,495 709,063 39,076,405 46,201,834 17,833,901 55,925,741 202,056 14,741,549 91,290,934 23,595,501 58,306,915 8,005,505 45,005 57,905 45,1005 57,905 57,905 45,1005 57,906 45,1005 57,906 45,1005 57,906 45,1005 57,906 45,1005 57,906 45,1005 57,906 45,1005 57,906 45,1005 57,906 45,1005 57,906 45,1005 57,906 45,1005 57,906 45,1005 57,906	\$1,358,368,064
Triple Flip & VLF Swap Adjustments	\$ (167,031,764) 122,777,192 5,838,803 3,887,514 202,276 18,220,608 16,105,371	\$0
Unitary & Operating Non-Unitary	\$ 42,944,709 36,193,310 1,905,296 5,657,426 632,525 65,790 2,291,846 3,307,304 1,969,769 6,145,686 22,204 1,0031,971 2,292,912 6,407,353 879,740 2,253,786 4,724,562 389,615 636 49,561 550,003 10,142 895,788 49,561 550,003 10,142 895,788 49,561 550,003 10,142 895,788 49,561 550,003 10,142 895,788 49,561 550,003 10,142 895,788 4815,541 2,5707,886 4,815,541 2,511,331 373,557 566,464	\$149,271,215
Gross PTR AB 8 Allocation	\$ 347,852,147 293,165,812 15,432,897 45,825,147 5,123,456 45,829,159 18,563,951 26,789,159 15,874,132 49,780,055 13,121,599 81,258,963 21,002,589 51,899,562 7,125,896 18,255,665 38,203 3,155,865 22,001 444 4,455,669 29,885,221 485,996 82,152 7,255,888 202,001 46,233,874 39,005,883 203,41,778 3,025,811 4,588,996 82,152 7,255,888 202,001 46,233,874 39,005,883 203,41,778	\$1,209,096,849
•	ERAF County of Wine County Library City of Zinfandel City of Shiraz City of Retite Shiraz City of Petite Shiraz City of Petite Shiraz City of Cabernet Sauvignon Chenin Blanc Elementary Fume Blanc Elementary Sauvignon Blanc Elementary Gewuztraminer High Greadonnay Unified Sauvignon Blanc High Greadonnay Chiege County School Service Central Fire South County Fire Evian Water District Dansani Water District Burgundy Cemetery District Burgundy Cemetery District Carigmane Hospital District Finot Noir Recreation & Park Dist Meritage Resource Conservation I. Blanc de Noirs RDA Brut Cuvee RDA  Brut Cuvee RDA	ü

R & T Code Section 97.75 prohibits the inclusion of Triple Flip and VLF Swap adjustments in the property tax admin fees calculation for fiscal years 2004-05 and 2005-06. For fiscal year 2006-07 and subsequent years, the Triple Flip and VLF Swap adjustment amounts will be included in the property tax admin fee calculation.

### California Property Tax Manager's

### Reference Manual

### Prepared by

### County Property Tax Manager's Association

### **County Property Tax Administrative Cost**

C5-4 - C5-10

State of California Codification; County Property Tax Administrative Cost (SB2557) Senate, Assembly Bill and Chapter - Defines the Revenue and Cost components utilized in the calculation of the administrative cost attributable to cities. Examples of Revenue and Cost calculation procedures implemented in the County of Los Angeles annual tax reporting process for fiscal years 2004-2005 through 2007-20008.

### Part 2 COUNTY PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS (SB2557)

### INTRODUCTION

During the 1990/91 State Budget deliberations, the legislature enacted **Senate Bill No. 2557**. Maddy, (Chapter 466, Statutes of 1990). This bill contained the authorization for counties to increase revenue to counties by the amount of property tax administrative cost attributable to incorporated cities within each county. It also authorized the County Auditor to annually, commencing with the 1990/91 fiscal year, determine "property tax administrative costs proportionately attributable to local jurisdiction", for Fiscal Year 1989/90 and thereafter, and "submit invoices" to the relevant jurisdictions for those costs. Counties are allowed to collect from each appropriate taxing jurisdiction that jurisdiction's share of the cost of assessing, collecting, and apportioning property taxes. This authorization was codified in Section 95.2 through 95.4 of the Revenue and Taxation Code (R&T).

During the 1991/92 legislative session, R&T Code §95 was amended to exclude schools from being invoiced for the Property Tax Administrative charge and require Board of Supervisors action in order to recover these costs from local jurisdictions other than the county or city and county, and cities.

Chapter 697 Statutes of 1992, effective for the 1992/93 fiscal year amended R&T Code §95.3, superseding provisions contained in §95. The major changes were:

- The portion allocated to Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF) per Section 95.3 is included and is liable for a proportionate share of costs.
- Costs are recovered from the apportionment of current secured collections during the year.

AB818, Chapter 914, Statutes of 1995, authorized loans to counties for participation in the State-County Property tax Administration Program. Even though expenditures under this program are included in the Administrative Cost Recovery calculation, the related revenues are excluded.

AB1055, Chapter 1073, Statutes of 1996, passed as an urgency item effective September 30, 1996, added the county board of equalization and assessment appeals board to the list of entities with property tax administrative costs to be considered under §95.3.

SB1096, Chapter 211, Statutes of 2004, and AB2115, Chapter 610, Statutes of 2004, changed the calculation of the allocation for 2004/05 and 2005/06 to include ERAF III (excluding redevelopment), and for 2006/07 forward to include the Sales Tax Triple Flip and VLF Swap adjustments.

Note: Please refer to the Guidelines for County Property Tax Administration Charges prepared by the County Accounting Standards and Procedures Committee as amended dated March 1992 and to the revised Uniform Guidelines for the Implementation of Senate Bill No. 1096 as Amended by Assembly Bill No. 2115.

### MAIN TOPIC

Annually, commencing in 1990/91, it will be necessary to calculate the County's prior year property tax administrative costs.

Property Tax Administrative Costs for purposes of compliance with the provisions of Revenue and Taxation Code §95 refers to the property tax related administrative costs of the assessor, tax collector, assessment appeals board and auditor.

In order to calculate the property tax administrative costs, it will be necessary to determine the department direct and "A-87" overhead costs related to property taxes in the assessor, tax collector, **Assessment Appeals Board (AAB)** and auditor departments. It will also be necessary to offset the total property tax related costs by revenues intended to reimburse the County for various property tax processes.

### **Direct Costs**

Direct Costs are calculated by identifying and costing out all activities directly involved in processing property taxes. Such costs include not only staffing costs, but also departmental indirect such as administrative salaries, services and supplies, etc. "Departmental Indirect Cost" is an accounting term used to describe a process of assigning (or charging) those costs of a department / organization which are common to two or more of its functions.

In the case of the assessor's department, usually all departmental costs are related to property tax administration. The sole function of the assessor is to assess taxable property. However, the assessor's function should be reviewed and if the assessor is performing any services outside of the property tax function the cost of those services should be excluded from these calculations (unless the county is reimbursed for those services, in which case it would be a revenue offset as discussed below).

In the case of the tax collector, assessment appeals board and auditor, it will be necessary to assign departmental direct costs to the property tax function. These departments have other responsibilities not related to property tax administration. Costs such as salaries, benefits, services and supplies will have to be reviewed and assigned to property tax administration. The process of assigning these costs should be well documented so that future review of this process can be performed.

Cost of county counsel representing the assessor before the assessment appeals board should be included either by direct interdepartmental charges to the assessor's budget unit or through the Cost Allocation Plan. County counsel costs incurred on behalf of the Appeals Board are to be included.

In addition, costs incurred by the assessor in association with work attributable to the assessment appeals process are includable and eligible for recovery.

### **Overhead Costs**

Overhead Costs are calculated in accordance with federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-87 standards, per R&T Code §95.3. This circular establishes principles and standards for determining costs applicable to Federal grants, contracts, and other agreements with State and local governments. For each *fiscal year*, the recovery of indirect overhead costs should be based on the allocation of the prior year's actual costs, pursuant to Circular A-87 standards. Fixed asset depreciation is generally considered part of overhead pursuant to Circular A-87, and therefore the direct expenditure for purchase of capital items should not be included in direct costs. Please note that overhead costs will vary from county-to-county, depending upon the procedure used in each County for classification of particular costs as direct or indirect. For example, computer costs may be charged in a County as direct costs, but charged as indirect costs through its A-87 plan in another County.

### Offsetting Revenue

Offsetting Revenues are revenues received, in accordance with State statutes, to reimburse counties for portions of property tax administration. These revenues should be used to "offset" the direct and overhead property tax related costs. Revenues that are penalties for late payment of taxes, e.g. penalties on current delinquent taxes (R&T §2617, §2618, §2992(a) (b), and §75.52 (c) should not be included in revenue offsets. The following are examples of offsetting revenues:

- Supplemental Tax Administration Reimbursement, R&T Code Section §75.60
- Special Assessment Administration Fee, Government Code (G.C.) §29304 & §51800
- Tax Collector \$10 Cost for preparing delinquent list, R&T §4653.8
- Assessor Mapping Fees
- Redemption Fees (\$35.00 + notice cost), R&T §4112
- Tax Sales Administration Charges, R&T §4672.1 & .2
- Redevelopment Base Year Projected Revenues Fee, Health and Safety Code (H&S) §33328.7 and §33607
- 1915 Act Assessment Collection Charges, Streets and Highway Code §8682
- Any Other Property Tax Administration Reimbursement Revenue

The net amount after offsetting revenues against Property Tax Administrative Cost will be allocated in accordance with R&T §95.3 Classification of offsetting revenue vs. direct credit may in some instances vary from county to county.

### **Direct Credit**

Direct Credit - Certain revenues should be recognized as an invoice "credit" rather than as an offset to total costs. These are fees paid by local jurisdiction for property tax services, e.g. the ¼ of 1% (negotiated) charge to special districts under Government Code §29142 for their proportionate share of the 1% levy.

See Exhibit 2 for an example of the calculations involved. Classification of offsetting revenue vs. direct credit may in some instances vary from county to county.

### **METHODOLOGY**

SB1096 and AB2115 also changed the methodology. Calculations for 2004/05 and 2005/06 include adjustment for ERAF III (excluding redevelopment). 2006/07 forward include adjustment for the **Sales Tax Triple Flip** and **VLF Swap**. See the revised exhibits in the Uniform Guidelines for the Implementation of Senate Bill No. 1096 as Amended by Assembly Bill No. 2115. Once the State Recovery Bonds are paid or retired the Sales Tax Triple Flip will no longer be included as an adjustment. Property tax administration costs should be allocated to the various jurisdictions as follows: (See Exhibits 2 and 3)

### Elements of the model are identified by column for each given entity:

- A = Gross AB8 property tax allocation for the current fiscal year per R&T Code §95.2, §95.3, §96.1 and §96.2.
- **B** = Allocations from the 1% portion of the unitary and operating non-unitary property tax, R&T Code §100.
- **C** = *Gross* Redevelopment Agencies (RDA) Tax Increment.
- **D** = For fiscal years 2004/05 and 2005/06 only ERAF III adjustments (excluding redevelopment). For fiscal years 2006/07 and subsequent years includes the current year Sales Tax Triple Flip and the current year VLF.
- **E** = Adjusted Net Property Tax Revenue.
- **F** = Administrative Cost Apportionment Factors obtained by dividing each jurisdiction's total revenue by the total revenue of all jurisdictions.
- **G** = Calculated amount of each jurisdiction's proportionate share of the cost derived by multiplying its administrative cost apportionment factor in Column F by the net administrative cost of \$30,000 (cost less offsets).
- **H** = Direct Credits such as Fees paid by local jurisdictions under Government Code §29142 (b).
- I = Amount of cost recoverable from affected jurisdictions, obtained by adding Column G and Column H. All schools, including ERAF, and the general fund do not pay an admin fee.

### **ACCOUNTING TREATMENT**

Claimed reimbursements once received are credited as revenue. The various departments received their share in proportion to the costs incurred by the department.

### RELATED TOPICS

Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF)
Annual AB8 Calculations
SB1096 Gymnastics: ERAF III, VLF Swap, and the Triple Flip

# PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATIVE COST CALCULATION

30,000	3,750	1,550	6,600	18,100	Totals
3,500	1,300	100	1,400	700	l otal Offsetting Revenues
	400		500	100	Other Property Tax Admin Reimb. Revenue
1,025	400	75	400	150	Tax Collectors Costs
	200	25	100	150	Documents & Fees
	300		400	300	Supplemental Tax Admin. Reim, R&T §75.60
					Revenue Offsets
33,500	5,050	1,650	8,000	18,800	Gross Costs
1,500	200	100	400	800	Indirect Cost (Note 1)
	800	200	1,200	100	A-87 Overhead
	150	50	400	1,000	Other Charges
	900	300	1,000	1,900	Services & Supplies
	3,000	1,000	5,000	15,000	Salaries & Employee Benefits
					Direct Costs
(A+B+C+D)		THE			
m	D	C	₿	Þ	
ALL DEPARTMENT	AUDITOR	ASSESSMENT APPEALS BOARD	TAX COLLECTOR	ASSESSORS	DESCRIPTION

Notes:
(1) If not included in A-87 or Services and Supplies.

Exhibit 1 C5-8

# ADMINISTRATIVE COST APPORTIONMENT FACTORS

For Fiscal Years 2004/05 and 2005/06

	,								
(\$20,275) 9,400	(325)	\$30,000	1.000000000	2,733,000	0	1	8,000	2,725,000	TOTALS
\$884		\$884	0.029454812	80,500	N/A	80,000	500		RDA Project 2
\$1,653		\$1,653	0.055104281	150,600	N/A	150,000	600		RDA Project 1
(\$5,830) Note 4		\$5,830	0.194328577	531,100		(50,000)	100	581,000	Schools
(\$7,519) Note 4		\$7,519	0.250621661	684,949	34,949			650,000	ERAF
\$1,454	,	\$1,454	0.04845408	132,425	(475)	(50,000)	1,900	181,000	City B
\$3,322		\$3,322	0.110746067	302,669	(631)		300	303,000	City A
\$294	(25)	\$319	0.010621295	29,028	(16,172)	(20,000)	200	65,000	Special District 3
\$1,286	(100)	\$1,386	0.04618551	126,225	(375)	(30,000)	600	156,000	Special District 2
\$507	(200)	\$707	0.023583242	64,453	(147)		600	64,000	Special District 1
(\$6,926) Note 4		\$6,926	0.230900476	631,051	(17,149)	(80,000)	3,200	725,000	County
(G+H)	Note 3	Note 2		(A+B+C+D)		Note 1			
_	I	ଜ	П	m	0	C	<b>6</b> 0	Þ	
COST	CREDIT	Col. F x \$30,000	FACTORS	AB8 REVENUE	(except RDA)	INCREMENT	NON-UNITARY	ALLOCATION	JURISDICTION
ADMINISTRATIVE		LESS OFFSETS	APPORTIONMENT	PROPERTY TAX	ADJUSTMENTS	TAX	OPERATING	AB8	
ADJUSTED		ADMIN. COST	ADMIN. COST	ADJUSTED NET	ERAF III	GROSS RDA	UNITARY &	GROSS PTR	
			2,00	טטאיטט פוות בטטי	ו טו ו ואכמו ו פמוא בטטאוטט מווע בטטטוטט				

### Notes:

- 3 Gross RDA tax increment shown in Col C is before any "pass through" reduction. For those counties that accomplish transfers to redevelopment agencies by reducing assessed values earlier in the AB8 process, Column C would be used for adding the pass thru amounts into the above formula.

  Offsetting revenues - those revenues received for services or reimbursement to the county for costs associated with property tax administration.
- 2 Cost as calculated in Exhibit 1 = \$33,500, reduce appropriate offsetting revenues of \$3,500, equals total to distribute of \$30,000. debt service levy fee(1/4 of 1% GC 29142(a)), RDA Base Year Report fee (H&S 33328.7 & 33607), etc. (See Prop. Tax Admin Cost Guideline) Examples include the supplemental 5% fee (R&T 75.60), \$10.00 cost, redemption fees, special assessment fees (GC 29304 & 51800)
- ω All Schools, ERAF Fund and the general fund are excluded from this recovery Government Code 29142 (b) allows special districts to be charged 1/4 of 1% of all collections (1%); each district's reimbursed funds would be a direct credit.
- (4) process.

Exhibit 2 C5 – 9

# ADMINISTRATIVE COST APPORTIONMENT FACTORS

For Fiscal Years 2006/07 and Thereafter

( <b>\$19,992</b> ) 9,683	(325)	\$30,000	1.000000000	2,734,125	0	0	1	8,000	2,725,000	TOTALS
\$471 \$3,360 \$1,519 (\$5,704) Note 4 (\$5,827) Note 4 \$1,652 \$883	(25)	\$496 \$3,360 \$1,519 \$5,704 \$5,827 \$1,652 \$883	0.016531797 0.111984639 0.050649477 0.190147122 0.194248617 0.055081607 0.029442692	45,200 306,180 138,482 519,886 531,100 150,600 80,500	2,880 5,582 (130,114)	2,958 12,628 (16,711)	(20,000) (50,000) (50,000) 150,000 80,000	200 300 1,900 100 600 500	65,000 303,000 181,000 650,000 581,000	District 3 City A City B ERAF Schools RDA Project 1
\$509 \$1,289	(200) (100)	\$709 \$1,389	0.023627303 0.046303662	64,600 126,600			(30,000)	600	64,000 156,000	District 1 Special District 2 Special
(\$8,461) Note 4		\$8,461	0.281983084	770,977	121,652	1,125	(80,000)	3,200	725,000	County
F (H+I)	***	H Note 4	G	F (A+B+C+D+E)	Note 3	D Note 2	C Note 1	œ	Þ	
ADJUSTED ADMINISTRATIVE COST	DIRECT	ADMIN. COST LESS OFFSETS Col. G x \$30,000	ADMIN. COST APPORTIONMENT FACTORS	ADJUSTED  ADJUSTED  NET  PROPERTY  TAX  AB8  REVENUE	ES TAX VLF SWAP WITH SLE FLIP GROWTH H TRUE- ADJUSTMENT	SALES TAX TRIPLE FLIP WITH TRUE- UP	GROSS RDA TAX	UNITARY & OPERATING NON- UNITARY	GROSS PTR AB8 ALLOCATION	JURISDICTION

 $\exists$ Gross RDA tax increment shown in Col C is before any "pass through" reduction. For those counties that accomplish transfers to redevelopment agencies by reducing assessed values earlier in the AB8 process, Column C would be used for adding the pass thru amounts into the above formula.

For 2006-07 and subsequent years, the (sales tax Triple Flip + catch-up + growth) will be included in the property tax admin fee calculation.

**(2) (2) (4)** For 2006-07 and subsequent years, the ((VLF SWAP + catch-ups) X growth) will be included in the property tax admin fee calculation.

Cost as calculated in Exhibit 1 = \$33,500, reduce appropriate offsetting revenues of \$3,500, equals total to distribute of \$30,000. debt service levy fee(1/4 of 1% GC 29142(a)), RDA Base Year Report fee (H&S 33328.7 & 33607), etc. (See Prop. Tax Admin Cost Guideline) Examples include the supplemental 5% fee (R&T 75.60), \$10.00 cost, redemption fees, special assessment fees (GC 29304 & 51800), Offsetting revenues - those revenues received for services or reimbursement to the county for costs associated with property tax administration.

6 (5 Government Code 29142 (b) allows special districts to be charged 1/4 of 1% of all collections (1%); each district's reimbursed funds would be a direct credit.

All Schools, ERAF Fund and the general fund are excluded from this recovery process.

Exhibit 3 C5 - 10

### County of Los Angeles County Tax Administrative Cost Schedules

Administrative Cost Apportionment County/Cities Fiscal Year 2007-2008	B-1
Administrative Cost Apportionment County/Cities Fiscal Year 2006-2007	R-1

### Page 1 of 3

145.53 145.53 145.55 145.56 146.01 148.01 152.01 152.01 160.01 160.01 160.31 163.01	142.01 142.21 142.52 143.01 143.64 143.65 143.65 143.66 143.66 143.67	126.22 128.01 131.01 132.01 136.01 136.41 138.01 138.60 138.60 138.61 138.62	100.00 100.52 101.00 104.00 106.00 108.00 112.00 114.00 114.00 118.41 119.00 118.41 119.00 118.40 118.40 118.40 118.00 118.40 118.00 118.40 118.00 11	AGENCY ACCT# 1.05
CITY-DUARTE LT DIST HUNT CITY-DUARTE LT DIST HUNT CITY-DUARTE LT DIST ZN A CITY-DUARTE LT DIST ZN B CITY-DUARTE LT DIST ZN C CITY-DIAMOND BAR TD #1 CITY-EL SEGUNDO TD #1 CITY-GLENDORA TD #1 CITY-GLENDORA TD #1 CITY-GLENDORA TD #1 CITY-GLENDORA FD #1 CITY-GLENDORA FD #1 CITY-GLENDORA FD #1 CITY-GLENDORA CROSS'G GRI CITY-HAWAII GDNS RP #1 HAWAIIAN GARDENS LTG MAIN	CITY-CERRITOS TD #1 CITY-CERRITOS INDUST MAIN CITY-CERRITOS COYOTES LT CITY-DOWNEY TD #1 CITY-DOWNEY LT DIST ZN 3 CITY-EL MONTE TD #1	CITY-CALABASAS LDSCPE ME CITY-CLAREMONT TD #1 CITY-COMMERCE TD#1 CITY-COMPTON TD #1 CITY-COVINA TD #1 CITY-COVINA VEHICLE PD #1 CITY-CUDAHY LT DIST ZN #2 CITY-CUDAHY LT DIST ZN #3	CITY-ALHAMBRA ID#1 CITY-ALHAMBRA LT DIST#1 CITY-ACOURA HILS CITY-AFCADIA TD#1 CITY-AFTESIA TD#1 CITY-AFTESIA TD#1 CITY-BALDWIN PK TD#1 CITY-BALDWIN PK CONSOL LT CITY-BELL FLOWER TD#1 CITY-BELL FLOWER VEHICLE P CITY-BELL FLOWER VEHICLE P CITY-BELL GARDEN TD#1 CITY-BELL FLOWER VEHICLE P CITY-BELL GARDEN TD#1 CITY-BELL GARDEN TD#1 CITY-BELL GARDEN TD#1 CITY-BELL GARDEN TD#1 CITY-BURBANK TD#1 CITY-CALABASAS LIBRARY FUND#2 CALABASAS LIBRARY FUND#2 CALABASAS LIBRARY FUND#2	CITIES/SPECIAL DISTRICTS  COUNTY GENERAL  TOTAL
1,397,079.57 1,397,079.57 114,080.41 274,177.13 25,458.28 6,672.15 3,635,435.37 5,674,339.76 5,387,071.83 29,326,747.05 5,031,551,77 6,873.82 339,274.62 290,873.35	4,584,773.05 97,027.27 1,328,219.28 11,423,290.16 427,648.27 142,000.73 17,501.95 6,281.81 5,613,775.22	1,470,785.79 4,163,293.25 2,673,035.15 5,471,049.84 6,196,447.12 2,942.40 379.988.62 12,213.02 8,278.46 9,669.61	9,381,281.47 1,257,176.10 2,448,854.32 8,586,773.5.98 1,476,261.22 4,889,005.46 3,688,694.46 942,953.65 705,039.49 2,670,850.56 388.20 1,256,948.55 30,665,176.65 248,212.72 33,035,189.76 8,536,659.23 2,551,669.09 1,487,378.79	2007-2008 GROSS PTR (1) 2,934,908,921.85 2,934,908,921.85
9.918.05 9.918.05 554.87 1,693.57 147.83 23.42 2,525.95 120,794.12 58,870.56 171,395.95 50,210.70 44.13 44.328.57 497.60	2,090,24 169,57 8,365,46 179,118,21 2,287,31 2,927,03 81,14 32,27 95,822,24	427.75 51,387.40 861.74 143,147.02 140,909.94 4,769.91 662.86 96.97 8.38 84.00 94,724.11	184,043.59 21,101.81 24,879.83 55,146.77 657.96 347.07 34,109.04 52,282.73 10,259.14 17,794.04 2,664.10 4,342.75 800.59 141.657.15 4,342.70 5,315.37 458.24	2007-2008 UNITARY REV NET OF CRA ACTSN620 RPT (2) 46,958,576.97
(821,241,02) (821,241,02) (98,132.19) (85,924.61) (16,464.28) (16,408,597.98) (1,006,617.29) (360,311.18) (156,016.01)	(2,061,709.96) (84,487.85) (618,231.73) (794,714.89) (192.87) (45,016.99)	(530,256.49) (1,091,847.04) (2,258,321.30) (1,538,102.45) (1,766.19) (227,479.10) (8,981.04) (7,177.12) (6,384.05) (3,887,684.41)	(2.092,783.54) (2.42,63.57) (285,364.53) (483,199.65) (101,934.20) (1,270,730.09) (1,300,493.21) (1,010,794.03) (202,216.00) (276,856.05) (154,855.11) (276,856.05) (1439,970.84) (439,970.84) (9,628,175.94) (1,806,229.60)	2007-2008 COMBINED CRA LOSS (3) (586,983,839.24) (586,983,839.24)
2,954,572.59 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 5,350,639.78 3,935,765.29 6,975,923.43 22,735,525.90 5,562,168.08 1,548,381.12 0.00	10,536,897.95 0.00 0.00 12,937,402.82 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 14,593,482.57	0.00 4,030,100.23 5,216,248.43 9,333,026.04 5,771,982.44 0.00 2,366,489.68 0.00 0.00 0.00 7,689,854.48	9,977,952,31 0,00 2,650,792,15 6,373,324.27 1,914,487,18 450,666.13 5,381,215.90 7,905,869.02 7,905,869.02 0,00 3,493,789,80 7,468,833.97 7,468,833.97 0,00 3,993,122,28 9,569,078.90 77,2744.15 15,978,425.63 12,030,504.50 3,763,164.45 0,00	VLF & TRIPLE FLIP ADJUSTMENT (4) 1,069,485,647.33
3,540,329.16 16,503.09 189,946.09 9,141.83 6,695.57 8,988,601.10 9,730,899.17 12,421,865.82 46,825,070.92 9,637,313.26 6,917.95 1,571,673.13 135,354.94	13,062,051.28 12,708.99 718,333.01 23,745,096.30 429,742.71 99,910.77 17,583.09 6,314.08 19,619,955.33	1,471,213.54 7,714,524.39 6,798,298.28 12,688,901.60 10,571,237.05 5,946.12 2,519,662.06 3,328.95 1,109.72 3,369.56 10,966,578.19	17,450,493.83 1,036,214.34 4,839,161.77 14,532,044.93 2,630,247.92 656,544.33 9,003,837.19 10,636,052.36 750,996.79 3,999,767.28 9,987,493.52 113.13 4,833,630.47 40,484,618.30 32,777.46 39,527,096.60 18,765,276.83 6,320,148.91 1,487,815.03	2007-2008 ADJUSTED NET PTR (5) (1+2+3+4) 3,464,369,306.91 3,464,369,306.91
0.000347774 0.000347774 0.00001621 0.000018659 0.000000658 0.00000658 0.001220226 0.001220226 0.004599725 0.00946693 0.000946693 0.00000680 0.000154389 0.000013296	0.001283113 0.000001248 0.000070565 0.002332530 0.000042215 0.000009814 0.000001727 0.000000620 0.001927309	0.000144520 0.000757814 0.000667811 0.001246457 0.001038435 0.000247512 0.000000327 0.0000000327 0.0000000331 0.000000331	0.001714198 0.000101789 0.000475361 0.001427513 0.000258375 0.000084494 0.000884466 0.001044802 0.000073772 0.000073772 0.0000981092 0.0000474818 0.000474818 0.000474818 0.000981092 0.000031607 0.003876889 0.000031607 0.003876889 0.000031607	2007-2008 ADJUSTED PTR NET OF CRA  (6) (coi \$10,179,973,091) 0.340312226 0.340312226
48,555.26 226.32 2,605.12 125.38 91.87 123,277.73 133,458.34 170,364.63 642,201.08 132,174.70 94.94 21,555.37 1,856.35	179,144.74 174.24 9,852.09 325,661.49 5,883.94 1,370.20 241.12 86.56 269,085.63	20,177,49 105,803.93 93,237.95 174,026.93 144,983.47 81.54 34,566.95 45.65 15.22 46.21 150,405.50	239,331,66 14,211,50 66,386,61 199,305,48 36,073,61 9,004,48 123,486,73 145,872,41 10,299,85 54,866,33 136,977,39 1,54 66,292,80 555,242,41 4,412,88 542,110,01 257,363,79 86,680,13 20,475,40	\$B2557ADMIN COST COUNTY 139,617,277.00 (7) (col6*139,617,277) 47,513,466.32 47,513,466.32
119.05	892.02 81.51 83.02		711.43 144.79	ADJUSTMENTS NO SOI FILED (8) 550,043.71
48,555.26 226.32 2,605.12 125.38 91.87 123,277.73 133,458.34 170,364.63 642,201.08 132,293.75 94.94 21,555.37 1,856.35	179,144.74 174.24 9,852.09 326,553.51 5,893.94 1,451.71 241.12 86.56 269,168.65	20,177.49 105,603.93 93,237.95 174,026.93 144,983.47 81.54 34,556.95 45.65 15.22 46.21	239,331,66 14,211,50 66,368,61 199,305,48 36,073,61 9,004,48 123,486,73 146,583,84 10,444,64 54,856,33 136,977,39 1,54 66,292,80 555,242,41 4,412,88 4,412,88 542,110,01 257,363,79 86,680,13 20,405,20	SB2557 ADMIN COST ADJUSTED (9) (7+8) 48,063,510.03

### SCHEDULE B-1

AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, TAX DIVISION SB2557 ADMINISTRATIVE COST FOR COUNTY/CITIES FISCAL YEAR 2007-2008

## AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, TAX DIVISION SB2557 ADMINISTRATIVE COST FOR COUNTY/CITIES FISCAL YEAR 2007-2008

SCHEDULE B-1

AGENCY ACCT#		164.01	168.01	172.01	174.01	176.01	177.01	178.07	179.01	180.01	181.01	182.01	183.01	183.21	185.01	186.01	186.51	188.01	200.01	204.01	208.01	212.01	220.01	222.01	222.21	225.01	226.01	230.01	230.61	232.01	232.41	232.42	232.43	232.45	234.01	234.50	100.0
CITIES/SPECIAL DISTRICTS		CITY HAWTHORNE TD#1	CITY HIDDEN HILL TO#1	CITY HIDDEN FILE 10#1	CITY-INDUSTRY TD #1	CITY-INGLEWOOD TD#1	CITY-IRWINDALE TD#1	CITY-LA PUENIE ID #1	CITY-I AKEWOOD TD #1	CITY-LA VERNE	CITY-LAWNDALE TD #1	CITY-LA MIRADA DE DEC AND DAT	CITY-LOMITA TD #1	LOMITA WATERWORKS #13 AN	CITY-LA CANADA-F TD #1	CITY-LANCASTER TD #1	LANCASTER LIGHTING MAINT I	CITY-LOS ANGELES TD #1	CITY-LYNWOOD TD #1	CITY-MANHATTAN B TD #1	CITY-MAYWOOD TD #1	CITY-MONROVIA TD#1	CITY-MONTEREY PK TD#1	CITY-NORWALK TD#1	NORWALK-S.E. REC AND PARK	CITY-PALMDALE TD#1	CITY-PARAMOUNT TD #1	CITY-PICO RIVERA TD1	PICO RIVERA LTG MAINT #2 ZN	CITY-POMONA TD #1			CITY-POMONA VEHICLE PD	CITY-POMONA PEDESTRIAN	CITY RANCHO P V ELPRADO RI	RANCHO P V LIGHTING MAINT	
2007-2008 GROSS PTR	3	5,173,464.51	8,761,516.53	1 956 5/1 63	5,286,369.51	10,150,944.94	1,864,883.60	1,103,996.39	4 100 733 70	6,198,628.04	1,218,390.70	3,2/5,891.23	1,117,232.76	555,975.08	97,675,135.57 3,335,613.05	8,744,602.44	962,672.89	1,037,360,157.73	2,978,281.14	6,133,100.74 15.687,849.25	649,213.58	6,815,090.31	4,507,090.19 8,053,102,26	3,913,771.00	3,024,260.94	8,485,783.73	2,038,658.71	40,719,566.06 2.694.213.15	21,201.06	21.879.110.84	1056.43	9,546.51	5,668.26 2,347.61	2,179.36	5,396,354.75 1_739.63	461,707.15	0,140,441.01
2007-2008 UNITARY REV NET OF CRA ACTSN620 RPT	(2)	98,546.90	85,778.47	76,618,41	18,263.64	109,031.53	9,760.15	1,402.79	80 200 00	45,812.21	1,132.60	1,930.57	20,109.38 864.08	9,489.73	2,085,481.54	6,496.43	11,134.23	10,702,022.01	24,332.33	2,245.94 60.151.70	5,167.07	76,973.70	124,017.46	3,768.08	30,413.48	5,476.96	1,800.73	296,005.90	1,453.44	363.748.65	17.92	5,230.19	9.95 12.96	242.45	12,499.97 4.19	150.26	001,000.04
2007-2008 COMBINED CRA LOSS	(3)	(1,069,556.76)		(1 170 6/5 20)	(4,062,269.26)	(3,496,307.35)	(1,664,792.56)	(38,552.19)	(353 0/1 07)	(2,259,852.89)	(321,960.00)	(940,675.39)	(336,764.31)	•	(26,452,508.88)	(4,977,876.90)	(850,219.65)	(99,032,135.35)	(743,394.99)		(376,162.97)	(1,578,102.07)	(1,680,141.76) (1,610,746.31)	(545,902.82)	(603,349.63)	(2,811,876.86)	(755,912.41)	(6,815,842.01) (448.701.46)	(1,728.47)	(8.713.820.28)	(557.32)	(6,202.86)	(4,581.04) (1,710.07)	(1,208.03)	(57,873.28)	(1)	(1,310,107.91)
VLF & TRIPLE FLIP ADJUSTMENT	(4)	9,697,221.88	2,185,101.25	6 101 167 93	9,028,441.62	12,258,845.53	1,613,472.01	4,139,243.12	0.00	3,453,198.76	3,514,080.45	6,965,878.66	2,039,553.63	0.00	50,433,489.70 2,169,613.98	20,173,020.34	0.00	416,593,029.05	6,561,406.79	4.847.092.04	2,444,837.99	5,147,390.20	8,224,979.26 5,875,087,09	11,214,213.88	0.00	17,869,434.82	7,010,437.01	7.316.663.91	0.00	16 077 158 76	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3,531,342.70 0 00	0.00	7,040,009.04
2007-2008 ADJUSTED NET PTR	(5) (1+2+3+4)	13,899,676.53	11,032,396.25	7 003,003.02	10,270,805.51	19,022,514.65	1,823,323.20	5,206,090.11	0,318.44	7,437,786.12	4,411,643.75	9,303,025.07	3,157,650.47	565,464.81	5,506,801,35	23,946,242.31	123,587.47	1,365,623,073.44	8,820,625.27	20.595.092.99	2,723,055.67	10,461,352.14	11,175,945.15	14,585,850.14	2,451,324.79	23,548,818.65	8,294,984.04	54,226,050.21 9.564.558.19	20,926.03	29,606,197,97	517.03	8,573.84	1,097.17 650.50	1,213.78	8,882,324.14 1 743.82	461,857.41	20,009,440.14
2007-2008 ADJUSTED PTR NET OF CRA	(6) (Col 5/10,179,973,091)	0.001365394	0.001083735	0.000075606	0.001008923	0.001868621	0.000179109	0.000511405	0.000000021	0.000730629	0.000433365	0.000913856	0.000310183	0.000055547	0.000540945	0.002352289	0.000012140	0.134148004	0.000866468	0.002023099	0.000267491	0.001027640	0.001097836	0.001432798	0.000240799	0.002313250	0.000814834	0.005326738	0.000002056	0.002908279	0.000000051	0.000000842	0.000000108	0.000000119	0.000872529	0.000045369	0.000.0
SB2557ADMIN COST COUNTY 139,617,277.00	(7) (Col 6*139,617,277)	190,632.59	151,308.13	06.330.90	140,863.08	260,891.78	25,006.71	71,400.97	181 744 56	102,008.43	60,505.24	127,590.09	43,306.91	7,755.32	1,697,103.15 75.525.27	328,420.18	1,694.95	18,729,379.03	120,973.90	282,459.57	37,346.37	143,476.30	153,276.87	200,043.36	33,619.70	322,969.67	113,764.90	743,704.65 131.176.99	287.05	406 045 99	7.12	117.56	15.08 8.94	16.61	121,820.12 23.87	6,334.30	0#0,007.07
ADJUSTMENTS NO SOI FILED	(8)															29.51	17.36	376,047.60										380.38									0,007.70
SB2557 ADMIN COST ADJUSTED	(9) (7+8)	190,632.59	151,308.13	06.330.00	140,863.08	260,891.78	25,006.71	71,400.97	181 744 56	102,008.43	60,505.24	127,590.09	43,306.91	7,755.32	75.525.27	328,449.69	1,712.31	19,105,426.63	120,973.90	108,239.27 282,459.57	37,346.37	143,476.30	153,276.87	200,043.36	33,619.70	322,969.67	113,764.90	744,085.03 131.176.99	287.05	8,519.41 406 045 99	7.12	117.56	15.08 8.94	16.61	121,820.12 23.87	6,334.30	300,323.37

	284.41 284.42	204.01	283.31	283.01	282.31	282.23	282.22	282.21	282.01	280.80	280.22	280.01	278.01	276.01	272.01	270.62	270.61	270.60	270.01	268.01	264.01	262.22	262.21	262.01	260.01	256.54	256.53	256.01	252.01	250.01	249.82/26	249 79/26	249.78/26.	249.77/26.	249.76/25	249.32/21	249.01	248.01	241.62	241.61	241.42	241.41	241.01	240.01	239.01	238.01	237.51	237.01 237.22		ACCT#	AGENCY	
	CITY-WHITTIER UPTOWN FO	_	W HOLLYWOOD LIGHTING MAI	CITY W HOLLYWOOD	WESTLAKE VG LIGHTING MAIN	WESTLKE VG LOCAL LDSCAPE	WLKE VG L LDSCAPE M.#12 1S	WESTLAKE VG A WIDE LDSCAF	CITY-W LAKE VILL TD # 1	CITY-WEST COVINA SEWER M	CITY-WEST COVINA MUN MAIN	CITY-WEST COVINA TD #1	CITY-WALNUT TD #1	CITY-VERNON TD #1	CITY-TORRANCE TD #1	CITY-TEMPLE CITY MUN LT DIS	CITY-TEMPLE CITY MUN LT DIS	CITY-TEMPLE CITY MUN LT DIS	CITY-TEMPLE CITY TD #1	CITY-SO PASADENA TD #1	CITY-SOUTH GATE TD #1	CITY-SO EL MONTE MID-TRACI	CITY-SO EL MONTE M.I.DROS	CITY-SO EL MONTE TD #1	CITY-SIGNAL HILL TD #1	CITY-SIERRA MADRIT MAIN #	CITY-SIERRA MADRIT MAIN #	CHY OFFICE MADE TO MADE TO	CITY SIEBBA MADE TO #1	CITY SANTA MONIO TO #1	249.82/26.0. LDSCPE MISC MAINT #01 ZN TE	249.79/26.0 LDSCP MISC MAINT #01 ZN T4:	249.78/26.0 LDSCP MISC MAINT #01 ZN T3 :	249.77/26.0. LDSCP MISC MAINT #01 ZN T2:	249.76/25.0 VLNCIA AREAWIDE LDSCP T1A	249.32/21.6 STA CLRTA STREET LIGHT MAI	CITY-SANTA CLARITA TD #1	CITY-SAN MARINO TD #1	CITY SAN GARRIEL TO #1	CITY-SAN DIMAS LT DIST ZN F	CITY-SAN DIMAS VEHICLE PD	CITY-SAN DIMAS VEHICLE PD	CITY-SAN DIMAS TD #1	CITY-S FERNANDO TD#1	CITY-ROLL HLS ES TD #1			CITY-ROSEMEAD TD#1		CITIES/SPECIAL DISTRICTS		
1,734,154,682.19	5.590.98	5,149,523.30	786,482.34	10,947,937.37	207,881.28	22,651.74	82,115.15	311,826.68	1,759,944.26	226,590.80	89,260.24	11,625,774.48	2,355,286.85	2,946,009.53	26,477,996.32	111,206.09	531,854.73	62,220.48	2,038,861.24	7,210,329.63	2,860,309.57	203.34	2.208.71	1.071.733.73	1 305 316 47	1 596 90	1,100.21	3,302,049.46	31,746,383.13	3,753,346.96	43,766.81	24,839.09	48,539.94	70,661.33	629,343,67	2,336,718.22	12.092,965,90	8 799 500 40	2 //2 510 /1	1,020,868.50	81.45	1,547.43	2,768,894.44	2,283,254.46	1,473,013.89	732,455.83	801,995.47	2,108,435.71 2,258.53	3	GRUSS PIR		
18,452,859.68	290.46 104.87	93,339.70	224.70	61,419.00	927.52	88.26	485.84	1,354.29	6,436.94	1,264.08	1,368.67	83,227.27	5,367.06	14,266.05	323,372.16	639.15	3,173.42	496.54	1,484.95	51,681.18	29,291.78	0.66	14.87	761.38	1 250 51	6.35	2 71	21,908.13	3/2,136.9/	39,516.40	353.51	131.51	230.52	498.61	3,409.18	21,273.65	12,925,85	31,437.20	77 757 70	14,308.84	0.00	7.64	44,268.80	39,688.82	659.52	1,975.76	14,886.38	1,932.26 7.82	(2)	ACT SN620 KPT	2007-2008 UNITARY REV NET OF CRA	
(241,067,306.24)	(4,056.79)	(029,002.77)	(88,029.03)	(1,081,359.78)	,	ı	•			(45,747.56)		(3,057,766.38)	(199,184.31)	(860,496.59)	(1,061,079.97)		(22,632.76)	(2,758.57)	(58,266.39)	(150,271.54)	(586,013.52)	(180.89)	(1.218.62)	(326,665,37)	(852 475 60)	(1,121.30)	(1 127 58)	(313,732.77)	(10,2/1,898.44)	(2,146,047.84)		•	•	•		(74,232.81)	(225.133.54)	(100,307.29)	(6,959.67)	(192,424.65)	(74.65)	(1,445.67)	(582,908.05)	(907,226.61)	•		(124,350.12)	(345,401.16)	(3)	CRALOSS	2007-2008 COMBINED	
1,044,470,034.76	0.00	9,040,200.17	0.00	6,419,457.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,629,361.51	0.00	0.00	12,191,275.91	2,818,094.97	1,508,356.36	22,523,110.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	3,192,500.97	2,354,920.21	9,780,074.65	0.00	0.00	2.930.016.23	4 257 252 37	0.00	0.00	913,387.29	012 387 30	8,195,392.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	22.296.561.22	1 035 810 13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4,389,262.41	2,873,201.92	988,313.27	145,600.50	0.00	5,307,285.17 0.00	(4)	ADJUSTMENT	VLF & TRIPLE FLIP	
2,556,010,270.39	1,486.21	13,936,620.40	698,678.01	16,347,453.66	208,808.80	22,740.00	82,600.99	313,180.97	3,395,742.71	182,107.32	90,628.91	20,842,511.28	4,979,564.57	3,608,135.35	48,263,398.72	111,845.24	512,395.39	59,958.45	5,174,580.77	9,466,659.48	12,083,662.48	23.11	1.004.96	3.675.845.97	4 711 343 75	148 83	172 65	3,921,012.13	37,124,126.05	9,842,207.97	44,120.32	24,970.60	48,770.46	71,159.94	632,752.85	2,283,759.06	34.177.319.43	9 888 934 92	7 550 078 67	842,752.69	6.80	109.40	6,619,517.60	4,288,918.59	2,461,986.68	880,032.09	692,531.73	7,072,251.98 1,337.26		קיד	D NET	
0.251082222	0.000000161	0.00137036	0.000068633	0.001605844	0.000020512	0.000002234	0.000008114	0.000030764	0.000333571	0.000017889	0.000008903	0.002047403	0.000489153	0.000354435	0.004741014	0.000010987	0.000050334	0.000005890	0.000508310	0.000929930	0.001187003	0.000000002	0.000000099	0.000361086	0.000462805	0.00000017	0.00000017	0.000385228	0.003646780	0.000966821	0.000004334	0.000002453	0.000004791	0.000006990	0.000062157	0.000224338	0.003357309	0.000742344	0.000006452	0.000082785	0.00000001	0.000000011	0.000650249	0.000421309	0.000241846	0.000086447	0.000068029	0.000694722	(6) (Col 5/10,179,973,091)		2007-2008 ADJUSTED PTR NET OF CRA	
35,055,416.06	22.48	191,413.61	9,582.35	224,203.57	2,863.83	311.90	1,132.85	4,295.19	46,572.27	2,497.61	1,243.01	285,852.83	68,294.21	49,485.25	661,927.46	1,533.98	7,027.50	822.35	70,968.86	129,834.29	165,726.13	0.28	13.82	50,413.84	64 615 57	209	237	33,784.48	509,153.49	134,984.92	605.10	342.48	668.91	975.92	8,678.19	31,321.46	468,738.34	135 324 05	103 671 97	11,558.22	0.14	1.54	90,785.99	58,822.02	33,765.88	12,069.49	9,498.02	96,995.19 18.29	(7) (Col 6*139,617,277)	139,017,277.00	,	
385,344.43																																																	(8)		ADJUSTMENTS NO SOI FILED	
35,440,760.49	22.48	191,413.61	9,582.35	224,203.57	2,863.83	311.90	1,132.85	4,295.19	46,572.27	2,497.61	1,243.01	285,852.83	68,294.21	49,485.25	661,927.46	1,533.98	7,027.50	822.35	70,968.86	129,834.29	165,726.13	0.28	13.82	50,413,84	64 615 57	209	237	33,764.46	52 784 48	134,984.92	605.10	342.48	668.91	975.92	8,678.19	31,321.46	468,738.34	135 324 05	103 671 97	11,558.22	0.14	1.54	90,785.99	58,822.02	33,765.88	12,069.49	9,498.02	96,995.19 18.29	(9) (7+8)		SB2557 ADMIN COST ADJUSTED	

## AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, TAX DIVISION SB2557 ADMINISTRATIVE COST FOR COUNTY/CITIES FISCAL YEAR 2007-2008

SCHEDULE B-1

### Page 1 of 3

### COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, TAX DIVISION SB2557 ADMINISTRATIVE COST FOR COUNTY/CITIES FISCAL YEAR 2006-2007

145.56 146.01 148.01 152.01 156.01 160.01 160.03 163.01 163.50	143.65 143.65 143.66 143.66 143.67 144.01 145.53 145.53	136.01 136.41 138.01 138.60 138.60 138.62 140.01 142.01 142.01 142.21 143.01	100.01 100.52 101.01 104.01 106.01 112.01 114.01 114.01 114.01 116.01 118.41 119.01 120.01 122.01 125.01 125.01 126.01	1.05	AGENCY ACCT#
CITY-DUARTE LT DIST ZN C CITY-GLAMOND BAR TD #1 CITY-GLENDALE TD #1 CITY-GLENDALE TD #1 CITY-GLENDORA TD #1 CITY-GLENDORA TD #1 CITY-GLENDORA CROSS'G GRD MAIN CITY-HAWAII GDNS RP #1 HAWAIIAN GARDENS LTG MAINT DIST	⊣ mi mi ` ` ` ` .	CITY-COVINA TD #1 CITY-COVINA VEHICLE PD #1 CITY-CUDAHY TD #1 CITY-CUDAHY LT DIST ZN #1 CITY-CUDAHY LT DIST ZN #2 CITY-CUDAHY LT DIST ZN #3 CITY-CULVER CITY TD #1 CITY-CERRITOS TD #1 CITY-CERRITOS COYOTES LT CITY-COWNEY TD #1	CITY-ALHAMBRA TD #1 CITY-ALHAMBRA LT DIST #1 CITY-AGOURA HILS CITY-ARCADIA TD #1 CITY-ARCADIA TD #1 CITY-BALDWIN PK TD #1 CITY-BALDWIN PK CONSOL LT DIST CITY-BALDWIN PK CONSOL LT DIST CITY-BELL FLOWER VEHICLE PD #1 CITY-BELL FLOWER VEHICLE PD #1 CITY-BELL STD #1 CITY-BELL GARDEN TD #1 CITY-BELL GARDEN TD #1 CITY-BELL STD #1 CITY-BELL GARDEN TD #1 CITY-BURBANK TD #1 CITY-CALABASAS TD # 1 CITY-CALABASAS LDSCPE MD #22 CITY-CLAREMONT TD #1 CITY-CLAREMONT TD #1 CITY-CLAREMONT TD #1 CITY-CLAREMONT TD #1 CITY-COMMERCE TD#1 CITY-COMMPTON TD #1	COUNTY GENERAL	CITIES/SPECIAL DISTRICTS
6,419.42 3,391,758.90 5,266,566.27 5,030,716.81 27,244,752.99 4,674,663.84 6,385.89 298,749.09 273,355.98	386,408.09 135,690.49 15,812.50 6,186.95 5,170,507.21 12,529.25 1,239,027.43 105,108.17 240,068.98 24,320.01	5,756,453.21 2,331.68 350,643.51 9,907.05 7,718.01 8,922.59 6,372,623.19 4,329,573.34 93,819.61 1,254,094.06	8,632,463.38 1,160,132.59 2,296,239.68 8,049,274.28.59 1,353,263.44 4,613,650.93 3,365,098.62 861,092.59 652,003.21 2,438,473.34 252.40 1,129,491.97 27,9781.90 228,802.05 30,396,466.91 8,052,136.71 2,213,830.36 1,300,102.42 1,246,898.48 3,769,737.88 3,769,737.88 3,769,737.88	(1) 2,677,751,037.71 2,677,751,037.71	2006-2007 GROSS PTR (AF91)
20.96 43.05 116,339.88 54,705.58 156,131.03 46,840.18 41.26 41,176.72 443.49	2,115.46 2,115.46 2,840.34 74.40 29.63 89,599.76 32.78 9,049.56 538.65 1,602.54	135,636.53 4,676.10 2.82 94.06 7.95 81.31 91,009.19 24.03 162.85 7,980.86	176,219,23 20,375,94 23,198,92 50,332,90 7,56 196,56 31,179,35 48,585,03 9,836,60 16,432,95 23,53 4,26 21,797,84 235,40,77 687,66 129,363,78 61.16 3,949,82 0,00 9,20 48,470,37 22,78	(2) 45,011,670.77 45,011,670.77	2006-2007 UNITARY REV NET OF CRA ACTSN620 RPT
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 (5,061,491.29) (909,566.06) 0.00 (319,821.87) (138,320.06)		(1,426,353.21) (1,158.74) (197,559.86) (6,700.21) (5,591.65) (3,379,293.07) (1,945,564.59) (83,193.26) (583,393.24.28) (748,495.90)		<b>6</b> 6	06-07 COMBINED VLF & TRI CRA LOSS TRIPLE FLI (AF91 RPT)
5,055,896.00 4,029,091.00 6,394,643.00 22,110,233.00 5,289,520.00 0,00 1,392,973.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 13,730,810.00 0.00 2,818,563.00 0.00 0.00	5,724,885.00 0.00 2,277,136.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 7,275,672.00 10,521,695.00 0.00 0.00 11,893,350.00	9,253,832.00 0,00 2,581,674.00 6,238,281.00 1,803,061.00 4,779,137.00 7,346,689.00 0,00 3,400,528.00 7,046,927.00 0,00 3,573,651.00 9,029,301.00 67,090.00 11,555,637.00 3,061,082.00 0,00 3,688,163.00 4,890,216.00 4,890,216.00 6,164,336.00	(4) 979,428,250.00 979,428,250.00	VLF & TRIPLE TRIPLE FLIP ADJ
6,440,38 8,446,674,95 9,411,997,15 11,480,065,39 44,449,625,73 9,101,457,96 6,427,15 1,413,076,94 135,479,41	388,318.67 92,130.49 15,886.90 6,216.58 18,350,674.60 9,619.85 3,367,122.57 16,846.71 177,484.56 8,730.61	10,190,621.53 5,849.04 2,430,222.47 3,288.08 1,025.75 3,412.25 10,360,011.31 12,905,727.78 10,789.21 678,750.60 21,754.424.76	16,235,860.64 969,227.05 4,662,167.75 13,882,707.55 2,473,903.64 8,083,425.47 9,819,059.93 683,128.61 3,869,705.34 9,347,198.22 136.42 4,343,499.80 37,244,549.80 37,244,593.71 296,579.71 296,579.71 296,579.71 296,579.71 296,579.75 296,579.76 296,579.76 17,916,977.54 5,278,862.18 1,300,102.42 1,246,907.68 7,051,766.03 6,492,932.07 11,162,217.89	(5) (1+2+3+4) 3,187,581,861.13 3,187,581,861.13	2006-2007 ADJUSTED NET PTR
0.00000690 0.000904824 0.001008231 0.001229766 0.004761528 0.000974965 0.000000688 0.0000151371 0.000014513	0.000041597 0.000009869 0.000001702 0.000000666 0.001965759 0.000001030 0.000360693 0.000001805 0.0000019012 0.000001935	0.001091639 0.00000627 0.000260330 0.000260332 0.000000110 0.000000366 0.001109784 0.001382486 0.00017382486 0.000007372709	0.001739216 0.000103825 0.000499420 0.001487142 0.000265009 0.00064915 0.000073178 0.000073178 0.00001051836 0.00001051289 0.000000015 0.000465281 0.000465281 0.000394766 0.000031770 0.003945669 0.000031770 0.003945669 0.000139269 0.000755398 0.000755398	(6) (Col 5/9,335,160,615) 0.341459777 0.341459777	2006-2007 ADJUSTED PTR NET OF CRA
84.00 110,155.48 122,744.49 149,714.70 579,680.00 118,694.61 83.76 18,428.27 1,766.85	5,064.12 1,201.48 207.21 81.08 239,316.28 125.39 43,911.64 219.75 2,314.57 113.83	132,898.79 76.33 31,932.71 42.85 13.99 44.56 135,107.80 168,307.21 140.73 8,851.77 283,705.52	211,736.39 12,639.91 60,000.61 181,048.29 32,262.84 7,902.91 105,418.23 128,053.07 8,465.89 121,899.36 121,899.36 121,899.36 183,716.52 3,867.76.52 3,867.76.52 3,867.76.52 16,949.95 16,949.95 16,963.99 84,676.12	(7) (Col 6*121,742,433) 41,570,144.02 41,570,144.02	SB2557 ADMIN COST COUNTY TOTAL \$121,742,433
110.70	128.46 - - - 92.31	1 327 05	610.88 124.02	(8) 441,002.28 441,002.28	ADJMTS NO SOI FILED
84.00 110,155.48 122,744.49 149,714.70 579,680.00 118,805.31 83.76 18,428.27 1,766.85	5,064.12 1,329.94 207.21 81.08 239,316.28 125.39 44,003.95 219.75 2,339.68 113.83	132,898.79 76.33 31,693.21 42.85 13.39 44.56 135,107.80 168,307.21 140.73 8,851.77 285,032.57	211,736.39 12,639.91 60,800.61 181,048.29 32,262.84 7,902.91 105,418.23 128,663.95 9,032.89 50,465.89 121,899.36 121,899.36 183,566.44.44 485,716.52 3,867.76 480,355.34 233,660.25 68,843.15 16,954.95 16,261.26 91,963.99 84,676.12	(9) (7+8) 42,011,146.30 42,011,146.30	SCHEDULE B-1 SB2557 ADMIN COST ADJUSTED

### Page 2 of 3

### AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, TAX DIVISION SB2557 ADMINISTRATIVE COST FOR COUNTY/CITIES FISCAL YEAR 2006-2007

SCHEDULE B-1

							<b>\_</b> >
232.41 232.42 232.43 232.44 232.45 234.01 234.21 234.21 234.50 236.01	228.01 228.01 230.01 230.61 230.62 230.62	216.01 220.01 222.01 222.01 222.21 222.21 225.01	200.01 203.01 204.01 208.01 212.01	183.21 184.01 185.01 186.01 186.51 187.01 188.01	176.01 177.01 178.01 178.21 179.01 180.01 181.01 182.01 182.21 183.01	164.01 168.01 170.01 172.01 174.01	AGENCY ACCT#
CITY-POMONA VEHICLE PD #1 CITY-POMONA VEHICLE PD #2 CITY-POMONA VEHICLE PD #3 CITY-POMONA VEHICLE PD #3 CITY-POMONA PEDESTRIAN MALL CITY-POMONA PEDESTRIAN MALL CITY-RANCHO P V TD #1 CITY RANCHO P V LIGHTING MAINT DIST RANCHO P V LIGHTING MAINT DIST CITY REDONDO BCH TD#1	CITY-PACAMOUNT ID #1 CITY PASADENA TD#1 CITY-PICO RIVERA TD1 PICO RIVERA LTG MAINT #2 ZN B PICO RIVERA LTG MAINT #2 ZN A CITY-POMONA TD #1	CITY-MONTEBELLO TD #1 CITY-MONTEREY PK TD#1 CITY-NORWALK TD#1 NORWALK-S.E. REC AND PARK CITY-PALOS VRDE TD #1 CITY-PALOS VRDE TD#1 CITY-PALOS VRDE TD#1	CITY-LYNWOOD TD #1 CITY-MALIBU TD #1 CITY-MANHATTAN B TD #1 CITY-MAYWOOD TD #1 CITY-MAYWOOD TD #1	LOMITA WATERWORKS #13 ANNEX CITY-LONG BEACH TD #1 CITY-LA CANADA-F TD #1 CITY-LANCASTER TD #1 LANCASTER LIGHTING MAINT DIST CITY-LA BABRA HT TD #1 CITY-LOS ANGELES TD #1	CITY-LAWINDALE TD#1 CITY-LA PUENTE TD #1 CITY-LA PUENTE TD #1 CITY-LA PUENTE TD #1 CITY-LAKEWOOD TD #1 CITY-LAWINDALE TD #1 CITY-LOMITAD TD #1	CITY HAWTHORNE TD#1 CITY-HERMOSA BCH TD#1 CITY-HIDDEN HILL TD#1 CITY-HUNTINGTN P TD#1 CITY-HUNDUSTRY TD #1	CITIES/SPECIAL DISTRICTS
730.65 7,954.26 5,231.67 2,285.34 1,886.57 5,120,080.46 1,685.83 435,706.52 16,928,719.13	1,733,154,53 37,310,049,20 2,499,103.03 19,812.46 711,572.45 20,002,966.57	4,124,757.94 7,442,068.54 3,580,152.81 2,796,742.99 5,037,491.20 7,409,417.42	2,681,607.48 5,580,663.54 14,311,678.26 569,212.22 6,256,770.75	518,636.89 89,594,087.40 3,094,875.85 7,377,566.04 817,546.07 1,052,163.83 944,464,664.40	9,164,286.83 1,825,977.84 1,007,504.3 5,586.28 3,780,075.47 5,698,517.03 1,110,582.78 3,071,407.75 2,590,381.37 1,044,707.60	(1) 4,625,840.06 7,820,515.07 573,069.24 1,779,562.93 5,045,228.67	2006-2007 GROSS PTR (AF91)
17.45 5,125.96 9.48 12.50 237.34 10,588.01 3.64 4.08 573,239.23	72.60 276,707.44 22.30 1,418.99 10,346.35 348,866.65	119,306.86 138,571.65 31.58 29,082.48 21,373.07 45.16	21,534.48 36.20 53,438.95 4,324.68 73,004.67	9,133.08 2,011,166.83 2,011,166.83 25.20 1,328.94 10,885.37 9,332.81 10,119,182.51	102,159,92 9,471.48 9,95 20.53 16,479.76 42,972.27 10.54 23.62 26,936.77 10.23	(2) 93,388.62 81,066.27 1,234.84 44,021.72 17,492.83	2006-2007 UNITARY REV NET OF CRA ACTSN620 RPT
(185.06) (2,700.19) (4,141.52) (1,419.47) (750.24) (52,863.78) (52,863.78) 0.00 0.00 (1,229,323.14)	(595,866.77) (6,276,576.33) (417,934.24) (1,405.51) (149,470.22) (7,830,593.01)	(1,559,846.18) (1,449,139.42) (494,825.67) (563,237.10) 0.00 (2,497,997.18)	(664,642.09) 0.00 0.00 0.00 (296,160.32) (1,434,189.99)	(22,406,564.73) (22,406,564.73) (0.00) (4,084,686.24) (724,610.18) (724,610.18) (81,309,105.47)	(3,070,844.91) (1,627,784.61) (25,281.55) 0.00 (318,165.78) (1,999,595.67) (265,165.78) (878,693.34) (521,684.65)	(3) (989,097.93) 0.00 0.00 (1,033,219.55) (3,840,818.93)	06-07 COMBINED CRA LOSS (AF91 RPT)
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 3,304,834.00 0.00 0.00 7,250,623.00	6,215,250.00 18,293,574.00 7,042,115.00 0.00 0.00 16,780,182.00	7,471,564.00 5,566,319.00 10,489,938.00 0.00 1,002,039.00 16,276,987.00	5,919,011.00 1,585,162.00 4,596,212.00 2,265,959.00 5,043,171.00	1,335,447,00 47,768,720,00 2,036,224.00 17,252,252.00 0.00 429,822.00 382,782,243.00	11,209,930.00 1,252,772.00 3,800,232.00 0,00 9,083,335.00 3,109,828.00 3,256,668.00 5,977,991.00 1,939,447.00	(4) 9,362,831.00 1,933,349.00 155,250.00 6,794,382.00 8,838,343.00	VLF & TRIPLE TRIPLE FLIP ADJ
563,04 10,380.03 1,099.63 878.37 1,373.67 8,382,638.69 1,689.47 435,710.60 23,523,258.22	7,352,550.36 49,603,754.31 9,123,306.09 19,825.94 572,448.58 29,301,422.21	10,155,782.62 11,697,819.77 13,575,296.72 2,262,588.37 6,060,903.27 21,188,452,40	7,957,510.87 7,165,861.74 18,961,329.21 2,543,335.58 9,938,756.43	527,769,97 116,967,409.50 5,131,125.05 20,546,448.74 103,821.26 1,491,318.64 1,256,056,984.44	17,405,531.84 1,460,436.71 4,782,464.53 5,606.81 12,561,724.45 6,851,721.63 4,102,095.54 8,170,729.03 2,095,633.49 2,984.164.83	il	2006-2007 ADJUSTED NET PTR
0.00000000 0.000001112 0.00000018 0.00000094 0.00000014 0.000897964 0.000000181 0.000046674 0.002519856	0.000787619 0.005313648 0.000977306 0.000002124 0.000061322 0.003138824	0.001087907 0.001253093 0.001454211 0.000242373 0.000649255 0.002269747	0.000852424 0.000767621 0.002031173 0.000272447 0.001064658	0.00066536 0.012529769 0.002549656 0.002200974 0.000011122 0.000159763 0.134551192	0.001864513 0.000156445 0.000512307 0.000000601 0.00134666 0.000733969 0.000439424 0.000878264 0.0002724488 0.000272448	(6) (Col 5/9,335,160,615) 0.001402543 0.001053536 0.000078151 0.000812492 0.001077672	2006-2007 ADJUSTED PTR NET OF CRA
7.30 135.38 14.37 11.44 17.90 109.320.32 22.04 5.682.21 306,773.40	95,886.65 646,896.44 118,979.61 258.58 7,465.49 382.128.07	132,444.45 152,554.59 177,039.19 29,507.08 79,041.88 276,324.52	103,776.17 93,452.05 247,279.94 33,168.36 129,614.06	6.882.83 1,525,404.56 66,916.46 267,951.93 1,354.02 19,448.72 16,380,589.48	226,990,35 19,045,99 62,369,50 73,17 163,821,00 89,355,17 53,496,55 106,556,77 27,329,72 38,917,28	(7) (Col 6*121,742,433) 170,749.00 128,260.04 9,514.29 98,914.75 131,198.41	SB2557 ADMIN COST COUNTY TOTAL \$121,742,433
6,184.06	393.37			30.74 18.09 317,558.90		(8)	ADJMTS NO SOI FILED
7.30 135.38 14.37 11.44 17.90 109.320.32 22.04 5.682.21 312,957.46	95,886.65 647,289.81 118,979.61 258.58 7,465.49 382,128.07	132,444.45 152,554.59 177,039.19 29,507.08 79,041.88 276,334.52	103,776.17 93,452.05 247,279.94 33,168.36 129,614.06	50.917.26 6,882.83 1,525,404.56 66,916.46 267,982.67 1,372.11 19,448.72 16,698,148.38	226,990.35 19,045,99 62,369.50 73,17 163,821.00 89,355.17 53,496.55 106,566.77 27,329.72	(9) (7+8) 170,749.00 128,260.04 9,514.29 98,914.75 131,198.41	SB2557 ADMIN COST ADJUSTED

### Page 3 of 3

## AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, TAX DIVISION SB2557 ADMINISTRATIVE COST FOR COUNTY/CITIES FISCAL YEAR 2006-2007

31,192,667.64	327,840.01	30,864,827.63	0.253525635	2,366,702,527.61	972,380,477.00	(207,810,934.35)	17,431,326.32	1,584,701,658.64	TOTAL CITIES =	
20.33		20.33	0.000000167	1,559.08	0.00	(3,376.23)	102.35	4,832.96	CITY-WHITTIER UPTOWN PD #2	284.42
85.22		85.22	0.000000700	6,534.64	0.00	(12,829.28)	282.79	19,081.13		284.41
167,043.40		167,043.40	0.0013/2105	12,808,823.73	8,692,283.00	(6/7,3/7.93)	88,732.70	4,705,785.96	_	284.01
8,438.21		8,438.21	0.000069312	647,038.26	0.00	(71,889.64)	20,120,32	718,920.41	W HOLLYWOOD LIGHTING MAINT DIST	283.31
134,451.27		94,431.27	0.001097230	14,910,446.33	0,000,727,00	(003, 101.30)	30,339,40	3,320,403.43	CIET W HOLLT WOOD	203.01
2,331,03		404,454,03	0.00002020	14,010.71	00.0	(000 404 50)	£6.330.46	190,221.32	WEST CANE VG LIGHTING MAIN I #1	202.01
2 531 03		2 531 03	0.0000000	104.073.71	0.00	0.00	845 79	193,727,92	WESTI AKE VOLIGHTING MAINT #1	282 31
36.78		265.76	0.00000183	30.07F, 17	0.00	0.00	79 97	20 204 53	WEST KE VOLOCAL DSCAPE MD #1	282 23
1 010 34		1 010 34	0.0000000	77 476 33	0.00	0.00	451 09	77 025 24	WIKE VOI I DSCAPE M #12 1ST NBHD	282 22
3 617 70		3 617 70	0.000029716	277,403,76	0.00	0.00	1.032.58	276.371.18	WESTLAKE VG A WIDE I DSCAPE M #1	282.21
40.231.98		40.231.98	0.000330468	3.084.975.98	1.518.986.00	0.00	5.797.57	1.560,192,41	CITY-W LAKE VILL TD # 1	282.01
2,218.41	3.79	2,214.62	0.000018191	169,814.84	0.00	(43,741.96)	1,184.35	212,372.45	CITY-WEST COVINA SEWER MAIN DIST	280.80
1,109.80		1,109.80	0.000009116	85,098.94	0.00	0.00	1,314.36	83,784.58	CITY-WEST COVINA MUN MAIN DIS #1	280.22
256,116.23	193.55	255,922.68	0.002102165	19,624,043.58	11,551,477.00	(2,840,924.92)	76,434.85	10,837,056.65	CITY-WEST COVINA TD #1	280.01
61,528.26		61,528.26	0.000505397	4,717,966.83	2,660,214.00	(200,106.72)	4,178.62	2,253,680.93	CITY-WALNUT TD #1	278.01
43,338.24		43,338.24	0.000355983	3,323,158.41	1,250,241.00	(748,322.38)	13,306.74	2,807,933.05	CT Y-VERNON (U #1	2/6.01
603,372.66		503,372.00	0.004930141	40,200,376.66	22,270,245.00	(904, 131.93)	306,046.19	24,034,214.40	CI 1-1 CXXXXCE 10 #1	10.272
-,343.30		1,040.00	0.000011002	16 266 276 66	33 370 376 00	(06/ 434 03)	306 046 19	34 654 344 40	OTTY TOBBANICE TO #4	272.01
4 3 4 5 5 0		1 2/5 50	0.00000000	102 160 71	0.00	0.00	502.03	102 576 78	CITY_TEMPLE CITY MINITING ZN B	270.62
6 156 30		6 156 30	0 000050569	472 070 58	000	(18 678 05)	2 956 91	487 791 79	CITY-TEMPLE CITY MUNITIPIS ZN A	270.61
719 62		719 62	0.000005911	55 180 56	0.00	(2 272 06)	468.89	56.983.73	CITY-TEMPLE CITY MUNICIPIST	270.60
62,351,12		62,351,12	0.000512156	4,781,058.32	2,953,649.00	(48,093.80)	16.42	1,875,486.70	CITY-TEMPLE CITY TD #1	270.01
114,630.73		114,630.73	0.000941584	8,789,842.08	2,187,743.00	(132,803.77)	47,948.81	6,686,954.04	CITY-SO PASADENA TD #1	268.01
147,011.29		147,011.29	0.001207560	11,272,762.00	9,153,992.00	(539,974.06)	25,667.70	2,633,076.36	CITY-SOUTH GATE TD #1	264.01
0.24		0.24	0.000000002	23.16	0.00	(192.74)	0.71	215.19	CITY-SO EL MONTE MID-TRACT 28057	262.22
12.90		12.90	0.000000106	985.56	0.00	(1,183.29)	14.31	2,154.54	CITY-SO EL MONTE M.I.DROSEMEAD	262.21
43,509.89		43,509.89	0.000357393	3,336,324.09	2,614,757.00	(276,559.78)	10.36	998,116.51	CITY-SO EL MONTE TD #1	262.01
51,474.77		51,474.77	0.000422817	3,947,066.20	3,533,816.00	(785,566.83)	821.84	1,197,995.19	CITY-SIGNAL HILL TD #1	260.01
3.04		3.04	0.00000025	236.19	0.00	(1,194.45)	6.21	1,424.43	CITY-SIEXRA MAUX LI MAIN #3 4N B	256.54
2.80		2.80	0.00000023	214.98	0.00	(18.696)	2.67	1,182.12	CITY-SIEXXA MAUX ET MAIN #3 AN A	250.53
14.12		14.12	0.0000000	1,064.69	0.00	(000 04)	07.0	1,070,10	CITY DIEDDA MADA LI MAIN DIO I #1	256.00
47,440.19		47,440.19	0.000369726	3,030,133.73	041,200.00	(203,300.10)	20,311.00	3,000,000,00		200.0
4	132.04	439,707,10	0.00377063	3 630 155 75	944,370.00	(3,220,300.07)	300, 138.00	29,000,002.41	CITY SIEBBA MADE TO #1	256.01
7.	3000	450,000.10	0.00.775063	25 250 150 24	14 554 579 00	(0.332, 200, 0)	356 138 60	20 568 002 41	CITY-SANTA MONIO TD #1	252.01
	53 00	125 589 13	0.000001597	9 630 123 29	8 089 742 00	(1 942 511 89)	37 833 23	3 445 059 95	CITY-SANTA FE SP TD #1	250.01
539.81		539.81	0 000004434	41 396 05	0.00	0.00	333-10	41.062.95	LDSCPE MISC MAINT #01 ZN T5 S.C.	249.82/26.05
306 30		306.30	0.000002516	23,488,30	0.00	0.00	121.35	23.366.95		249.79/26.04
607.86		607.86	0.000004993	46,611,16	0.00	0.00	210.77	46,400.39		249.78/26.03
859.62		859.62	0.000007061	65,919.13	0.00	0.00	467.37	65,451.76		249.77/26.02
7,708.49		7,708.49	0.000063318	591,087.07	0.00	0.00	3,148.95	587,938.12	VLNCIA AREAWIDE LDSCP T1A S.C.	249.76/25.01
27,816.44		27,816.44	0.000228486	2,132,952.49	0.00	(56,033.11)	20,161.57	2,168,824.03	STA CLRTA STREET LIGHT MAINT #2	249.32/21.67
400,974.05		400,974.05	0.003293626	30,746,527.38	20,176,366.00	(170,725.71)	5,242.71	10,735,644.38	CITY-SANTA CLARITA TD #1	249.01
121,542.04		121,542.04	0.000998354	9,319,794.58	1,027,389.00	0.00	27,992.54	8,264,413.04	CITY-SAN MARINO TD #1	248.01
92,470.20		92,4/0.20	0.00075556	7,090,581.35	3,893,381.00	(84,241.10)	44,525.97	3,236,915.48	CITY-WAN GABRIEL TO #1	244.01
820.30		020.30	0.000000730	200,596.02	0.00	(81,040,09)	27.010	09,427.60	CIT - SAN DIRIAS EL DISTEND	20.142
620.20		0,200.00	0.000004204	60,010.01	0.00	(200,302,00)	E40 26	907,727,00	CITY CAN DIMAS IT DIGITAL B	341.63
10 250 50		10 250 50	0.0000000	706 613 31	0.00	(40.40)	13 774 11	939 433 05	CITY CAN DIMAG IT DIST ZNI A	2/16/
0 0		0 0	0.00000070	10.04	0.00	(1,300.1)	0.00	101 05	CITY-SAN DIMAS VEHICLE DD #2	241.42
1 05		30.10.70	0.00000016	CO 877	0.00	(1,550,71)	7.50	1 601 22	CITY-SAN DIMAS VEHICLE BD #1	241 41
81 275 40		81 275 40	0.00040007	6 222 167 45	4 137 901 00	(517 547 44)	41 878 62	2 569 935 27	CITY-SAN DIMAS TO #1	241 01
77 863 FO		55 863 50	0.000458867	4 283 500 61	3 008 930 00	(858 571 37)	37 042 27	2 095 298 71	CITY-S EERNANDO TD#1	240.01
30 500 86		30,500.86	0.00000000	2 338 791 49	952 947 00	0.00	12 82	1 385 831 67	CITY-ROLL HIS ES TO #1	239 01
10,585,02	240.90	0,340.12	0.00000072	827 095 79	141 084 00	0.00	1 667 88	684 343 91	CITY-ROLLING HIS TO #1	238.01
0 505 00	346 00	0 3/0 13	0.000000.43	640,128,00	0.00	(118 370 22)	1/ 388 67	7// 110 /5	CITY-BOSEMEAD LIGHTING DIST	237 51
86,197.16	606.14	85,591.02	0.000/03050	6,563,086.17	4,920,386.00	(289,324.42)	7 23	1,932,007.34	CHY-ROSEMEAD MAINT DIST #1	237.01
(1.4)		(00) 0 12 13 100)	(001 000,000,000)							2
(9)	(8)	(Col 6*424 742 433)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	3		
ADJUSTED	FILED	\$121,742,433	NET OF CKA	PTR		(AF91 RPT)	ACTSN620 RPT	(AF91)	CITIES/SPECIAL DISTRICTS	ACC! #
COST	NO SOI	TOTAL	ADJUSTED PTR	ADJUSTED NET	TRIPLE FLIP ADJ	CRA LOSS	REV NET OF CRA	GROSS PTR		AGENCY
SB2557 ADMIN	ADJMTS	SB2557 ADMIN	2006-2007	2006-2007	VLF & TRIPLE	06-07 COMBINED	2006-2007 UNITARY	2006-2007		
SCHEDULE B-1										
					EAR 2000-2007	PIOCAL TEAT				